

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/789,210	02/27/2004	Thomas J. Plona	26.0273 US	9128
75	90 10/07/2005	•	EXAM	INER
Schlumberger K.K.			HUGHES, SCOTT A	
Intellectual Property and Legal Department 2-2-1 Fuchinobe, Sagamihara-shi			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kanagawa-ken,			3663	
JAPAN			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summany	10/789,210	PLONA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Scott A. Hughes	3663				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the day patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. 5, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO is statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 September 2005.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-73 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the subject to restrict	hdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/27/2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	= : :	, ,				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 ′ No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/6/2005</u> .	18) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Datent and Tr							

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 19-73 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 9/15/20005.

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-18 in the reply filed on 9/15/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claimed process and system may not practically be implemented by hand. The applicant argues that something, such as a computer processor, is necessary for the practical implementation of the invention. This is not found persuasive because the language of the independent claims allows for the process to be carried out by hand. For the purpose of restriction, it is not considered whether or not doing the process by hand would be practical, only that the method described by the limitations of the claims could be done by hand. In this case, it is still considered that the method could be performed by hand or at least partially by hand, which is different than the apparatus described in the other claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

Color photographs and color drawings are not accepted unless a petition filed under 37 CFR 1.84(a)(2) is granted. It is noted that applicant submitted a petition on 2/27/2004 for color drawings. That petition has not been granted as of yet. In the event

that the petition in granted, the color drawings submitted by the applicant will be accepted for examination. Any such petition must be accompanied by the appropriate fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h), three sets of color drawings or color photographs, as appropriate, and, unless already present, an amendment to include the following language as the first paragraph of the brief description of the drawings section of the specification:

The patent or application file contains at least one drawing executed in color. Copies of this patent or patent application publication with color drawing(s) will be provided by the Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee.

Color photographs will be accepted if the conditions for accepting color drawings and black and white photographs have been satisfied. See 37 CFR 1.84(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims contain the limitations "in a manner which enables an observer" and "configured or designed to allow a user." These limitations depend upon the actions and skill level of the observer/user for the enablement of the claim. The way the

Application/Control Number: 10/789,210 Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

claim limitations are written, it would be optional for the user/observer to do the step of the method.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims contain the limitations "in a manner which enables an observer" and "configured or designed to allow a user." These limitations depend upon the actions and skill level of the observer/user. Therefore, the claims are indefinite because it is not clear what specifically about the method would allow a user/observer to perform the steps of the method. It is also indefinite because the observer/user has the option of performing the steps or not performing from the way the claims are written.

The phrases "in a manner which enables an observer" and "configured or designed to allow a user" provide language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation (MPEP § 2106(II,C)). Accordingly, the metes and bound of the claim can not be ascertained by one having ordinary skill in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bose.

With regard to claim 1, Bose discloses a method for facilitating quality control analysis of sonic logging data associated with an earth formation surrounding a borehole (abstract; Column 2). Bose discloses generating slowness frequency analysis log information which includes slowness-versus-frequency dispersion curve information associated with a first depth interval (Figs. 8-16) (Column 8). Bose discloses displaying, using a graphical display format, the SFA log information as an SFA log display, the SFA log display including a first axis corresponding to depth, and a second axis corresponding to wave slowness characteristics (Figs. 8-16). Bose discloses that the information displayed in the SFA log display is presented in a manner which enables an observer of the SHA log display to visually compare relative frequency dispersive characteristics of the dispersion curve information over selected portions of the first depth interval (Figs. 8-16) (Column 8, Line 50 to Column 10, Line 25).

With regard to claims 2-3, Bose discloses that the wave slowness characteristics are expressed in terms of wave slowness. Bose discloses that the dispersion curve information is expressed in terms of wave slowness (Figs. 7-12). It is known that slowness is the inverse of velocity, and therefore the displays of Bose could be turned changed to be in terms of velocity by inverting the slowness values (Column 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,210

Art Unit: 3663

With regard to claim 4, Bose discloses that the information displayed in the SFA log display is further presented in a manner which enables an observer of the SFA log display to visually assess homogeneous and inhomogeneous characteristics of the dispersion curve information over selected portions of the first depth interval (Fig. 17) (Columns 9-10).

With regard to claim 5, Bose discloses that the information displayed in the SFA log display is further presented in a manner which enables an observer of the SFGA log display to visually assess isotropic and anisotropic characteristics of the dispersion curve information over selected portions of the first depth interval (Fig. 17) (Columns 9-10).

With regard to claim 6, Bose discloses that the dispersion curve information includes projected slowness-versus-frequency dispersion curve information (Figs. 8-16).

With regard to claim 7, Bose discloses that the projected slowness-versusfrequency dispersion curve information is represented in one dimension (Figs. 8-16).

With regard to claim 8, Bose discloses that the dispersion curve information includes dipole flexural information which has been projected onto a slowness axis (Column 2, Lines 35-45; Columns 8-9) (Fig. 8).

With regard to claim 9, Bose discloses that the dispersion curve information includes dipole compressional information that has been projected onto a slowness axis (Column 1).

With regard to claim 10, Bose discloses that the dispersion curve information corresponds to sonic logging data generated by at least one source selected from the

group consisting of a dipole source, a monopole source, and a quadrapole source (Column 5, Line 43 to Column 6, Line 56).

With regard to claim 11, Bose discloses that the dispersion curve information corresponds to sonic logging data selected from the group consisting of fast dipole shear data, slow dipole shear data, low-frequency monopole data, and high frequency monopole data (Columns 9-10) (Figs. 7-13).

With regard to claim 12, Bose discloses generating, using the slowness-versus-frequency dispersion curve information, estimated wave slowness information associated with the selected portions of the first depth interval. Bose discloses displaying an overlay of the estimated wave slowness information onto the SFA log display, wherein the display of the overlay information onto the SFA log display is presented in a manner which enables an observer of the SFA log display to visually assess the relative accuracy of the estimate wave slowness information over the selected portions of the first depth interval (Columns 9-10).

With regard to claim 13, Bose discloses that the estimated wave slowness information includes information from the group consisting of fast estimated shear wave slowness, estimated compressional wave slowness, and estimated Stoneley wave slowness (Columns 9-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3663

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bose as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Stark.

With regard to claim 14, Bose does not disclose that the SFA log further comprises a navigable pointer mechanism configured or designed to allow a user to navigate within the SFA log display in order to access additional sonic logging information relating to selected depths. Stark discloses the use of a navigable pointer mechanism in seismic displays for the purpose of accessing data, selecting parts of a display, and navigating between displays (Columns 4-5, 8-9) (Figs. 4-5). It would have been obvious to use a pointer mechanism as disclosed by Stark in order to navigate and select the data in the display in order to select data from a display that will be processed or that will be extract data about a specific part of the display.

With regard to claim 15, Bose discloses that the SFA log display further includes depth specific sonic logging information (Columns 11-13). Bose does not disclose that the information relates to a depth selected by the navigable pointer mechanism. Stark discloses selecting data by use of a pointer mechanism (Columns 4-5, 8-9) (Figs. 4-5). It would have been obvious to use a pointer mechanism as disclosed by Stark in order to navigate and select the data in the display in order to select data from a display that will be processed or that will be extract data about a specific part of the display.

With regard to claim 16, Bose does not disclose that the navigable pointer mechanism is further configured or designed to automatically scroll through the SFA

Application/Control Number: 10/789,210

Page 9

Art Unit: 3663

projection log display in a manner which causes additional depth specific sonic logging information to automatically be displayed. Stark discloses that the pointing mechanism (mouse) can continuously provide points as the mouse is moved in order to provide a continuous "movie" style presentation. It would have been obvious to modify Bose to include using a mouse that continuously provides information about the part of the display it is placed over in order to be able to see information about any part of the display on which the mouse is placed in order to compare it to other parts of the display.

With regard to claim 17, Bose does not disclose that the SFA log display further comprises a navigable pointer mechanism configured or designed to allow a user to navigate within the SFA log display in order to access depth specific sonic logging information associated with selected depths. Bose discloses that the SFA log display further includes depth specific display information relating to selected characteristics of the depth specific sonic logging information (Columns 11-13). Stark discloses the use of a navigable pointer mechanism in seismic displays for the purpose of accessing data, selecting parts of a display, and navigating between displays (Columns 4-5, 8-9) (Figs. 4-5). It would have been obvious to use a pointer mechanism as disclosed by Stark in order to navigate and select the data in the display in order to select data from a display that will be processed or that will be extract data about a specific part of the display.

With regard to claim 18, Bose discloses that the depth specific display information is displayed concurrently with the SFA log information (Figs. 8-22).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3663

The cited prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6983. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAH

PRIMARY EXAMINER